US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

ALIC 1 4 1991

## MEMORANDUM

Lindane: List A Project. Report of data and study SUBJECT:

requirements.

FROM:

Doug Urban, Acting Chief

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H-7507C)

TO:

H. Toma

Reregistration Branch

Special Review/Reregistration Division (H-7508C)

The Ecological Effects Branch is submitting data and study requirements for Lindane: List A Project.

The following studies are new requirements:

71-4(a) Avian reproduction/ Quail TGAI

71-4(b) Avian reproduction/ Duck TGAI

71-5(b) Actual terrestrial field study

72-7(a) Simulated aquatic field study

The following studies have been reviewed and are outstanding:

72-3(b) Acute estuarine/marine toxicity Mollusk TGAI

72-4(a) Early life-stage fish freshwater TGAI

72-4(b) Life cycle aquatic invertebrate TGAI

The following study is in review:

70-1 Special study- Aquatic residue monitoring

## Rational for the required studies:

- 71-4 (a) and (b) the long halflife of this chemical in the environment (980 days in sandy loam soil and 281 -309 days in water at pH 7) trigger the reproduction tests.
- 71-5 (b) the major use of Lindane on agricultural crops is for seed treatment. At the label rates of application, only 9 corn seeds need to be consumed by one red-winged blackbird to provide a lethal dose (Lindane Registration Standard 6/12/85). This situation is expected to occur in the environment since birds follow seed planters which, depending on the type of seed, may not incorporate the seed deep enough, allowing for exposure of the treated seeds to birds. Therefore, a terrestrial field study is required.
- 72-3 (b) this study was reviewed and catagorized as supplemental, therefore it must be repeated.
- 72-4 (a) and (b) the product is expected to be transported to water from the intended use site via runoff and the EEC is greater than 1% of the  $LC_{50}$  or  $EC_{50}$  values of aquatic organisms. Attachment 1 lists the  $LC_{50}$  or  $EC_{50}$  values for 13 species of fish and 10 species of aquatic invertebrates. The expected EEC is greater than 1% of the  $LC_{50}$  or  $EC_{50}$  values for all 23 species (attachment 1). These studies were reviewed and catagorized as supplemental, therefore they must be repeated.
- 72-7 (a) the EEC is greater than  $^1/_2$  the  $\mathrm{LC}_{50}$  or  $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$  values for aquatic organisms. (attachment 1)

Should you have any questions concerning this DCI, please contact Nimish Vyas (557-0577) of my staff.

## Attachment 1

Runoff EEC calculations:

2.06 (rate of application) x 2 (% runoff) x 10 acres x 61 ppb=  $\underline{25}$  ppb

 $LC_{50}$  values for fish

Species	<u>* ai</u>	L C	(dqq) 05
Coho salmon	99%	23	(19-28)
Painbow trout	99%	27	(20-36)
Brown trout	998	1.7	(1.2-2.4)
Lake trout	998	32	(24-42)
Goldfish	99%	131	(92-187)
Carp	99%	90	(75-120)
Fathead	998	87	(69-101)
minnow			
Black bullhead	998	64	(49 - 81)
Channel catfish	998	44	(37-52)
Green sunfish	998	83	(47-149)
Bluegill	998	68	(60-78)
Large mouth	998	32	(27-38)
bass			
Yellow perch	998	68	(60-76)

 $EC_{50}$  values for aquatic invertebrates

Species	% ai	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppb)	
Simocephalus Daphnia pulex	99% 99%	520 (340-790) 460 (386-547)	)
Cypridopsis Asellus	99% 99%	3.2 (2.2-4.6 10 (7-14)	)
G. fasciatus	99%	10 ( 7-14) 88 (57-136)	
G. lacustris Pteronarcys	99% 99%	4.5 (3.6-5.7	)
G. lacustris G. fasciatus	Tech.	48 (35-65) 10 ( 7-14)	
Asellus	99%	10 ( 7-14)	